A generic energy model description

Linux Plumbers Conference 2022 Power management micro-conference Daniel Lezcano



Description of the energy model

- Device tree
 - No clear definition of the energy model
 - Need to be computed from the dynamic power coefficient
 - No static leakage
 - No energy cost for performance transitions
 - Some platforms have performance indexes, no frequencies, no voltages, $P = C \times f \times V^2$
 - Adaptative Voltage Scaling
- SCMI
 - Power per performance level only



Linux Energy model

- The energy model exists
- Implementation initially for CPUs, then GPU
 - Does not really appears as generic
 - Focused on performance domain
- However, the energy model is embedded per struct device
 - Accessible from everywhere
- An energy model is more complex as it depends on :
 - the temperature
 - the usage of the device



A paradox

- SoC vendors are reluctant to share the energy information
- But they want an Energy Aware Scheduler
- Power based control (thermal, capping, EAS)
- Hardware can provide energy counters
- Out of tree kernels have their own energy model implementation



An invitation

- With the EAS, the power capping and the thermal power:
 - idea of sharing some power numbers moved forward
 - power numbers help to be more energy efficient
- New platforms are providing energy counters, power meters in different SoC places
- Chrome OS is looking forward for more power numbers
- Shall we propose a generic energy model description and in kernel handling to give the opportunity to provide the power numbers?



Proposal





Proposal

No inference: all values are clearly expressed

- index : the performance index which is common to all devices
 - Not a frequency
- dynamic power (uW) :
 - $\circ \quad \text{Not deduced} \quad$
- static leakage in (uW) :
- Transition energy cost (uJ)

All these values are expressed in function of the temperature:

- Linear regression coefficients
- Array of pair <temp, value>
- Constant if not depending on the temperature

