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In a nutshell

- Priority inheritance mechanism
  - Applies to mutexes
  - Replaces (broken) deadline inheritance (SCHED_DEADLINE)
    - Boosted task run outside runtime enforcement (!root prohibited)
  - Works across classes
  - Can unify mutex and rtmutex code
- Mutex owner can run using the scheduling context (“properties”) of (several) donor(s)
migrate_task:

/*
 * Follow blocked_on chain.
 */
for (p = next; p->blocked_on; p = owner) {
    mutex = p->blocked_on;
    ...
    owner = __mutex_owner(mutex)
    ...
    if (task_cpu(owner) != task_cpu(p))
        * The blocked-on relation must not cross CPUs, if this happens
        * migrate @p to the @owner's CPU.
        *
        * This is because we must respect the CPU affinity of execution
        * contexts (@owner) but we can ignore affinity for scheduling
        * contexts (@p). So we have to move scheduling contexts towards
        * potential execution contexts.
migrate_task:

/*
 * Follow blocked_on chain.
 */
for (p = next; p->blocked_on; p = owner) {
    mutex = p->blocked_on;
    ...  
    owner = __mutex_owner(mutex)
    ...
    if (task_cpu(owner) != task_cpu(p))
        * The blocked-on relation must not cross CPUs, if this happens
        * migrate @p to the @owner's CPU.
        *
        * This is because we must respect the CPU affinity of execution
        * contexts (@owner) but we can ignore affinity for scheduling
        * contexts (@p). So we have to move scheduling contexts towards
        * potential execution contexts.

Can we actually do this w/o breaking admission control?

OSPM19 answer... NO! :-(

alternatives

- If p and owner allowed masks are equal -> migrate owner to p’s cpu
  - If they were both admitted it means that their bw can be scheduled inside their root domain (DEADLINE doesn’t currently care where)
  - What if owner is running? Wait until it is preempted?
  - But then maybe it’s actually easier to let p (scheduling ctx) migrate to owner’s cpu (as currently implemented)
- If they are disjointed neither p nor owner can be migrated to/from each other domain
  - Don’t trigger proxy?
  - Is there theory already for tasks running on separate domains that share data?
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